



ENGLISH A2 – STANDARD LEVEL – PAPER 1 ANGLAIS A2 – NIVEAU MOYEN – ÉPREUVE 1 INGLÉS A2 – NIVEL MEDIO – PRUEBA 1

Wednesday 2 May 2012 (morning) Mercredi 2 mai 2012 (matin) Miércoles 2 de mayo de 2012 (mañana)

1 hour 30 minutes / 1 heure 30 minutes / 1 hora 30 minutos

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Do not open this examination paper until instructed to do so.
- Section A consists of two passages for comparative commentary.
- Section B consists of two passages for comparative commentary.
- Choose either Section A or Section B. Write one comparative commentary.
- It is not compulsory for you to respond directly to the guiding questions provided. However, you may use them if you wish.
- The maximum mark for this examination paper is [30 marks].

INSTRUCTIONS DESTINÉES AUX CANDIDATS

- N'ouvrez pas cette épreuve avant d'y être autorisé(e).
- La section A comporte deux passages à commenter.
- La section B comporte deux passages à commenter.
- Choisissez soit la section A, soit la section B. Écrivez un commentaire comparatif.
- Vous n'êtes pas obligé(e) de répondre directement aux questions d'orientation fournies. Vous pouvez toutefois les utiliser si vous le souhaitez.
- Le nombre maximum de points pour cette épreuve d'examen est [30 points].

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ALUMNOS

- No abra esta prueba hasta que se lo autoricen.
- En la Sección A hay dos fragmentos para comentar.
- En la Sección B hay dos fragmentos para comentar.
- Elija la Sección A o la Sección B. Escriba un comentario comparativo.
- No es obligatorio responder directamente a las preguntas de orientación que se incluyen, pero puede utilizarlas si lo desea.
- La puntuación máxima para esta prueba de examen es [30 puntos].

Choose either Section A or Section B.

SECTION A

Analyse and compare the following two texts.

Discuss the similarities and differences between the texts and their theme(s). Include comments on the ways the authors use elements such as structure, tone, images and other stylistic devices to communicate their purposes. It is not compulsory for you to respond directly to the guiding questions provided. However, you are encouraged to use them as starting points for your comparative commentary.

Text 1

5

10

I'm not a vengeful man, but I wouldn't mind running into Dr. Goldfarb in a dark alley some evening – preferably with a pair of heavy-duty Vise-Grips¹ in my mitt².

Goldfarb was my dentist back when I was a kid. Correction: he was my yanker. "Extractionist" is the term I believe he used. Dr. Goldfarb did not straighten, brace, bridge, drill or fill his customers' teeth, he just pulled them out.

It was a dentally backward age to say the least, and I came from a relatively large family living on a relatively small weekly paycheque. Hence, there was no mollycoddling⁴ of cavities. "Got a toothache? We know how to deal with that, my lad. Plenty more where that one came from (well, a couple of dozen, anyway). Open wide. Hold on. There. Keep that cotton wadding in your mouth until the bleeding stops. Next."

Dr. Goldfarb had the forearms of a longshoreman⁵ and the compassion of a Nazi. He instilled in me a mortal fear of reclining chairs, white coats and anyone operating metal tools anywhere close to my mouth. For a time I couldn't even bear the thought of my own dinner fork touching (what was left of) my teeth.

And for about twenty years, I never arrived for a dental appointment without being dazed and confused with painkillers. But I'm okay with dentists now. I go to my appointments un-self-medicated and clear of eye. Dentists don't scare me anymore.

Arthur Black, from the autobiographical essay *This Won't Hurt a Bit* (2005)

[Used with the author's permission].

Vise-Grips: brand of locking pliers

² Mitt: slang: hand

³ Extractionist: person who removes or pulls something out

⁴ mollycoddling: pampering, indulging

⁵ longshoreman: person who loads and unloads ships' cargo

Text 2

SIGNATURE DENTAL

... the gentle art of remarkable smiles ...

Make time to meet with us to discuss your dental health needs and we'll give you something to smile about. Our aim is to give you confidence that lasts a lifetime.

We provide unique and individualized treatment to each and every patient that seeks it, communicating our genuine commitment to deliver exceptional, first-class attention with warmth and sincerity.

Patients

Every patient is treated with the care and respect we would give to our own loved ones. We offer ideal care, ensuring we provide ample, appropriate information to empower our patients to choose the treatment that is right for them.

Methodology

We ensure that all of our patients' requirements are taken into consideration when recommending treatment. We respect their needs and expect the same from them. Where we can assist in managing financial, emotional or other constraints, we make every effort to ensure no patient will have to compromise their care.

We know our patients by name, and welcome them and their families into our care.

Our Team

We have an excellent team who continually strive for the highest. We choose to employ only the best people for the job. Our staff are committed and caring, and we have a working environment that is enjoyable, safe and secure, and encourages ongoing learning for life. We enjoy each other's successes as our own and are always seeking the best ways to achieve excellence.

The measure of our success as a business is the radiating* smiles.

From the website www.signaturedental.com.au (2009) ["Kindly supported by Signature Dental www.signaturedental.com.au"]

- How does tone differ in these texts?
- What is the attitude expressed towards dentistry in these two texts?
- What is the purpose of each text?

^{*} radiating: glowing

SECTION B

Analyse and compare the following two texts.

Discuss the similarities and differences between the texts and their theme(s). Include comments on the ways the authors use elements such as structure, tone, images and other stylistic devices to communicate their purposes. It is not compulsory for you to respond directly to the guiding questions provided. However, you are encouraged to use them as starting points for your comparative commentary.

Text 3

5

10

15

20

We've all been there.

All your life you wonder if you'll find her. Does she even exist?

You see friends in marriages bound by love and others who tied the knot¹ under a cloud of self-deception, resulting in failed marriages. Meanwhile, everyone with an opinion tells you the same thing: "Your standards are too high. You spend too much time on your career. You don't put yourself in situations where you can meet someone of quality."

So you do as any single man would – you date. You know the drill² ... You tell yourself she's good for now. You stay together because of convenience, companionship, or because flying solo lost its appeal.

So now what? You settle³.

Wrong answer. I know from experience that success doesn't happen by chance, and settling is the quickest road to misery, chaos and a divorce attorney on speed dial.

I was a top executive recruiter retained by Fortune 500 companies⁴ to identify and handpick the most seasoned and well-suited candidates to join their corporate empires ... If the vetting process⁵ is so effective and efficient in corporate America, why wouldn't I apply the same methodology to personal lives?

My answer: Executive Recruiting meets Personal Matchmaking.

Imagine having your own executive recruiter focused solely on your personal life, acting as your "personal recruiter," scouting, screening and weeding out the inappropriate candidates, interviewing the must-meet individuals in person, and introducing you to your future.

You are strategic and savvy⁶ in everything you do; it's time to apply the same wisdom to the most important search of your life.

Selective Search: let us take the labor out of finding love.

From a promotional article for the matchmaking firm Selective Search, featured in *Continental Airlines in-flight magazine* (2007)

tied the knot: slang: got married

² drill: routine

settle: give in

⁴ Fortune 500 companies: top-earning companies in the United States

vetting process: process of selecting the best candidate

⁶ savvy: shrewd; well-informed

Text 4

Is it better to be alone, or to settle?

My advice is this: settle! That's right. Don't worry about passion or intense connection. Don't nix¹ a guy based on his annoying habit of yelling "Bravo!" in movie theaters. Overlook his bad breath or awful sense of aesthetics². Because if you want to have the framework in place to have a family, settling is the way to go. Based on my observations, in fact, settling will probably make you happier in the long run, since many of those who marry with great expectations become more disillusioned with each passing year. (It's hard to maintain that level of *zing* when the conversation moves into discussions about who's changing the diapers³ or paying the phone bill.)

Our culture tells us to keep our eyes on the prize (while our mothers, who know better, tell us not to be so picky), and the theme of holding out for true love (whatever *that* is – look at the divorce rate) runs through our collective imagination.

When we're holding out for deep romantic love, we have the fantasy that this level of passionate intensity will make us happier. But marrying Mr. Good Enough might be an equally attractive option, especially if you're looking for a stable, reliable life companion.

What makes for a good marriage isn't necessarily what makes for a good romantic relationship. Marriage isn't a passion-fest; it's more like a partnership formed to run a very small, mundane⁴, and often boring nonprofit business. And I mean this in a good way.

All marriages, of course, involve compromise, but where's the cutoff?

Take the date I went on last night. The guy was substantially older. He had a long history of major depression, and mentioned in conversation, "I'm fascinated by comas⁵," and "I have a strong interest in the criminal mind." He'd never been married. He was rude to the waiter. But he very much wanted a family, and he was successful, handsome, and smart. As I looked at him from across the table, I thought, "Yeah, I'll see him again. Maybe I can settle for that."

Lori Gottlieb, adapted from the magazine article *Marry Him! The Case for Settling for Mr. Good Enough* The Atlantic Monthly (2008) [Gottlieb, Lori. "Marry Him!", The Atlantic, March 2008. http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2008/03/marry-him/6651/]

15

- Comment on the attitudes towards relationships in the two texts.
- Comment on the use of narrative in these two texts.
- Compare the effect of point-of-view in each text.

nix: reject

² aesthetics: that which one finds beautiful

diapers: nappies

⁴ mundane: ordinary, common

comas: states of prolonged unconsciousness